UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,855	05/10/2001	Raymond A. Berard	14060/198355(IRC289)	5678
23370 IOUNIS DD A	****		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			YOON, TAE H	
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7112/1111, 0	11 30309		1796	· ·
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/852,855	BERARD, RAYMOND A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tae H. Yoon	1796			
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the property of the property o	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address –			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Application/Control Number: 09/852,855

Art Unit: 1796

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This is New Matter rejection since the recited "and maintaining the pressure" in claim 21 does not have support since page 5, lines 9-10 reads "more particularly to about 145°C, and held at this temperature for a period of time sufficient to dissolve the desired yield of nylon. Surprisingly, this use of increased".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yang et al (US 6,036,726).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record with following response.

Yang et al teach recycling post-consumer materials containing polyamides at col.

1, lines 11-15, and thus use of said post-consumer materials in example 11 would be a

Art Unit: 1796

prima facie obviousness contrary to applicant's assertion. see <u>In re Mills</u>, 477 F2d 649, 176 USPQ 196 (CCPA 1972); Reference must be considered for all that it discloses and must not be limited to its preferred embodiments or working examples.

100% dissolution is not claimed limitation, and claims 21-37 are silent as to any % dissolution. Furthermore, claim 38 recites a minimum of 82% dissolution, and applicant failed to show that Test 1 and 4 in table 6 do not have said 82% dissolution.

Holding at the given temperature (140-°C) for a certain period of time (30 or 5 minutes) is taught at col. 13, lines 6-8 which is basically taught by applicant at page 5, lines 9-10 of specification.

Applicant asserts presence of other materials in said post-consumer materials which would affect dissolution, and use of said post-consumer materials in the process of example 11 would achieve similar results. However, Yang et al further teach use of said post-consumer materials in example 12 and table 9 shows only presence of a minute amount of other material. Melting point of polypropylene and glass transition temperature of SBR are lower than the melting point of nylon, and thus said polypropylene and SBR would be dissolved a way before dissolution of nylon, and vielding similar results contrary to applicant's assertion.

Yang et al teach that the dissolution process was successful with each of theses post-consumer carpet samples at col. 14, lines 14-15, and applicant failed to show example 12 does not meet claim 38 for a minimum of 82% dissolution (again, claims 21-37 do not require any particular % dissolution contrary to applicant's assertion.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,855

Art Unit: 1796

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,855

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

THY/March 18, 2008

/Tae H Yoon/